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ABSTRACT

Determining performance indicators within team sports is considerably important as it facilitates the underlying training methodologies of sports performance. The value of understanding individual contribution and the relevant physical factors is of importance when structuring appropriate strength and conditioning training. Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyse the relationships between physical performance and game performance, as well as examining the relationships of physical performance and game performance dependent on competition level. This research project was a case study conducted with a team’s game performance measured across a full season. Twelve elite adolescent male ice hockey players (17.92 ± 0.9 years, 185 ± 8.45 cm, 83.17 ± 8.61 kg) participated in the study after giving informed consent. Physical performance was measured using four tests (horizontal jump, single-leg lateral jump, 15-meter sprint, corner S test), while game performance was calculated through the statistical equation Point Shares. Statistical significance was set at p ≤ 0.05. Physical performance did not depict any strong indications of overall game performance. Instead, results illustrated an inverse relationship between plyometric performance and offensive point shares. However, corner S test – non-dominant may be a relevant indicator of defensive game performance dependent on competition level (high; r = -0.618, low; r = -0.334). Given the results mostly exhibited non-significant correlations (p > 0.05), the findings suggest that explaining individual performance within team sports is a highly complex issue that needs further investigation.
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Introduction

Sports practitioners are continuously incorporating new strategies and methods to improve their probability of success. In team sports, the ability to precisely measure individual contribution is highly sought after and still developing (6, 23). However, the ability to accurately measure performance indicators in open-skilled sports is often compromised into rough estimations due to the plethora of factors that influence game performance outcome (23). Nonetheless, explaining game performance is largely the basis of understanding which variables are performance indicators among individual athletes in team sports (23). Therefore, it is crucial to estimate the game performance as precisely as possible in order to identify the variables differentiating the athletes, with the aim to develop new performance enhancement strategies.

The sport of ice hockey consists of intermittent high-intensity bouts with powerful collisions throughout the game (4). Regular gameplay at even line-ups includes five skaters and one goaltender on the ice for each team. The rink is 60 × 30 meters (10), but the gameplay is often condensed into one of the three similar-sized zones generating ongoing oppositional encounters and agility. Elite ice hockey players’ physical performance standards have been explained by aerobic, anaerobic and strength variables (1, 7 11, 21). In detail, physical tests considering repeated sprint ability (1, 21), bench press (1, 21), maximal oxygen uptake (7, 21) and vertical jump (1, 11) have commonly been researched in the literature. These studies have often implemented methods of assessing individual game performance not necessarily relating to true performance outcome (i.e. contribution to team performance). Therefore, general conclusions are difficult to draw from the current literature as to which variables may best explain individual game performance. Additionally, studies have analysed the same independent variables but reached contradictive results (7, 21), which highlights the undesirable effect of differentiating assessment methods of individual game performance. A general observation-based model titled “Team Sport Assessment Procedure” was applied to ice hockey but was later deemed to be unstable due to interrater reliability (19). Further detail into an objective assessment method of individual game performance may elicit results that prove to be homogenous among different populations of elite ice hockey players, resulting in rational conclusions to be drawn. The emergence of data analytics in team sports, including ice hockey, have led to more advanced objective metrics regarding the assessment of individual performance in a team environment (18, 20, 25). Using a Point Share (PS) system, see Figure 1, to analyse the individual performance has been well documented (3, 20) and may currently provide the most accurate estimation of player contribution in ice hockey.

\[
\text{Point Shares} = \frac{\text{MG}}{\text{MGPP}} + \frac{\text{MGA}}{\text{MGPP}} 
\]

Figure 1. Point Share equation (14).

\( \text{MG} = \text{Marginal goals}, \text{MGPP} = \text{Marginal goals per point}, \text{MGA} = \text{Marginal goals against} \)

Coinciding with previous literature (1, 2, 7, 13, 21, 22, 24, 26) this study will focus on physical variables and their relation to game performance. The aim of this study is twofold. One, analyse the relationships between physical performance and game performance. Two, examine the
relationships between physical performance and game performance based on competition level. This study will complement the current literature surrounding sports performance in ice hockey. The research questions are specified accordingly, is there a relationship between physical performance variables and game performance variables (1) on the individual level and (2) dependent on competition level.

METHODS

Experimental Design

This study is a cross-sectional case study of an elite youth ice hockey team. The study involved two types of performance measurements, game performance and physical performance. Game performance was based on statistics from all 45 regular-season games, with 18 games deriving from a higher competition level (elite national league) and the remaining 27 games deriving from a lower competition level (elite regional league). Official game reports were retrieved from the leagues’ official website and consequently filtered into the relevant game statistics. See Table 1 for the complete list of game performance variables.

Table 1. Game performance variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offensive point share (OPS)</td>
<td>Share of individual’s offensive contribution in terms of team points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensive point share (DPS)</td>
<td>Share of individual’s defensive contribution in terms of team points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point share (PS)</td>
<td>The total share of an individual’s contribution in terms of team points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative point share (PS%)</td>
<td>Percentage of individual’s contribution in terms of team points in relation to the team’s total points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point share per game (PS/g)</td>
<td>Share of individual’s contribution in terms of team points per game played.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Physical performance variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal jump (HJ)</td>
<td>Bilateral jump for maximal distance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-leg lateral jump - Dominant (SLLJ-D)</td>
<td>Unilateral jump in the medial direction for maximal distance, the best performance of the leg with the furthest distance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-leg lateral jump - Non-dominant (SLLJ-ND)</td>
<td>Unilateral jump in the medial direction for maximal distance, the best performance of the leg with the nearest distance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-leg lateral jump - Asymmetry (SLU-A)</td>
<td>Percental difference between dominant and non-dominant leg in the single-leg lateral jump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15m Sprint</td>
<td>A 15-meter linear skating sprint in forwarding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornering S test - Dominant (CST-D)</td>
<td>An agility skating course including an S-shaped turn, the best performance of the fastest directional start.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornering S test - Non-dominant (CST-ND)</td>
<td>An agility skating course including an S-shaped turn, the best performance of the slowest directional start.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornering S test - Asymmetry (CST-A)</td>
<td>Percental difference between dominant and non-dominant directional starts in the cornering S test.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individual game performance was assessed by applying the Point Share system, which takes the individual contribution of team performance into account when assessing player performance (3, 20). The system considers both offensive and defensive contribution, which minimises the differences between positional game demands.

Physical performance was assessed by using four tests, comprising of two skating tests and two plyometric tests: horizontal jump, single-leg lateral jump, 15-meter sprint and cornering S test. In cases where lateral differences were tested, both lateral-dominance and asymmetry were recorded and thereby resulting in a total of eight physical performance variables, see Table 2.

Subjects

The study’s sample included twelve adolescent elite male ice hockey players (17.92 ± 0.9 years, 185 ± 8.45 cm, 83.17 ± 8.61 kg). All participants were players from a single team competing in the elite national youth league and consisted of both defensemen (n = 5) and forwards (n = 7). Goaltenders were excluded from the study due to their vastly differentiating game demands. Players needed to have played in at least half of the season’s games to be eligible to participate in the study. The study was conducted according to the declaration of Helsinki. Furthermore, the ethical guidelines outlined by the national research council were all adhered to.

Procedures

The four tests were scheduled in mid-season to determine the physical performance of the participants. Testing was carried out in the morning in field-test conditions due to the necessity of an ice rink. Participants were instructed to wear standard athletic attire when performing the off-ice tests and wearing full ice hockey gear including helmet and stick for the on-ice tests to replicate game conditions. A standardised 15-minute incremental warm-up was performed on stationary bicycles (Lifecycle GX, Life Fitness, USA), followed by five minutes of individual preparation ahead of testing. The tests were then completed in the following order.

-Horizontal jump

Starting at a designated line and landing on both feet, participants were instructed to jump horizontally with a self-determined degree of countermovement and free arm swing. The performance was then recorded using measuring tape from the designated line to the nearest heel. Participants were given two attempts with a minimum of two minutes of recovery in between jumps. If a participant skidded when landing, the attempt was disqualified, and an additional attempt was given.

-Single-leg lateral jump

Although the single-leg lateral jump has not been directly tested in relating studies, research indicates unilateral tests may be more appropriate for ice hockey due to skating kinematics (9). Thus, the procedure followed the test outlines as described by Lockie et al. (16). The conditions were similar to the horizontal jump test, with slight differences. The participants began adjacent to the designated line on a single leg, then proceeded to jump inwards with free arm swing and landing on both feet.
The distance was measured from the designated line to the closest point of the foot. Participants were given two attempts for each leg, alternating legs for each jump.

-15-meter sprint

At first, the participants were given five minutes of free skating to prepare for maximum effort sprints. Two sets of photocell timing gates (TCi Timing System, Brower Timing Systems, USA) were placed at hip height 15 meters apart on the ice with a marked line 0.5 meters in front of the first gate where the participant would begin. The sprint distance for this study’s testing was set at 15 meters to match recently observed match-play characteristics (15). Participants were instructed to start in a “v-stance” with their heels touching, as well as maintaining ice contact with the stick throughout the sprint. Participants were given two attempts with a minimum of two minutes of recovery.

-Cornering S test

The cornering S test has been used within ice hockey research to assess skating agility on multiple occasions (5, 11). Alike aforementioned studies, cornering S test was performed per the outlines provided by Greer, Serfass, Picconatto and Blatherwick (8), only with a few modifications. Participants used the same starting position as the previous test and were instructed to keep ice contact with the stick when passing through the timing gates. Furthermore, skating was always performed forwards and was done starting in opposite directions. Thus, resulting in two attempts for each direction with a minimum of two minutes of recovery in between attempts.

Statistical analysis

Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyse the variables using SPSS (SPSS Statistics 25, IBM, USA). Data distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test of normality, which resulted in all variables exhibiting normal distribution. The statistical significance level was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

-On the individual level

At first, mean values and standard deviations (SD) for all variables on the individual level were established. Pearson correlation was then used to determine the bi-factorial relationships between the physical performance variables and game performance variables.

-Dependent on the competition level

Two categories were formed dependent on competition level. The categories were derivatives of the two main leagues the team participated in throughout the season. The lower competition level featured 27 games, while the higher competition level included 18 games. Numerical variables’ relationships were then analysed using the Pearson correlation for the respective competition level. Lastly, the two competition levels’ correlation results were compared and analysed.

Results

Relationship between physical performance variables and game performance variables

-On the individual level
Descriptive statistics of physical performance and game performance were determined for the individuals and are presented in Table 3.

Only one pair of variables demonstrated statistical significance ($p = 0.031$), SLLJ-D negatively correlated with OPS ($r = -0.622$), thereby displaying a decrease in offensive contribution as the lateral jump distance of the dominant leg was greater. All but two variable pairings of plyometric performance and individual game performance (HJ & DPS, SLLJ-ND & DPS) resulted in weak to strong negative relationships. See Table 4 for the full results of the relationships on physical performance and game performance.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for individuals (n = 12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Jump (cm)</td>
<td>271 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-leg lateral jump - Dominant (cm)</td>
<td>215 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-leg lateral jump - Non-dominant (cm)</td>
<td>210 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-leg lateral jump - Asymmetry (%)</td>
<td>2.53 ± 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15m Sprint (s)</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornering S test - Dominant (s)</td>
<td>8.64 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornering S test - Non-dominant (s)</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornering S test - Asymmetry (%)</td>
<td>-2.08 ± 1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offensive point share (au)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensive point share (au)</td>
<td>0.97 ± 1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point share (au)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative point share (%)</td>
<td>1.52 ± 3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point share per game (au)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Correlation matrix between physical performance and individual game performance (n = 12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SLLJ-D</th>
<th>SLLJ-ND</th>
<th>SLLJ-A</th>
<th>HJ</th>
<th>15m Sprint</th>
<th>CST-D</th>
<th>CST-ND</th>
<th>CST-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>-0.622*</td>
<td>-0.526</td>
<td>-0.148</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>-0.116</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-0.423</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>-0.450</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.478</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>-0.552</td>
<td>-0.402</td>
<td>-0.312</td>
<td>-0.228</td>
<td>-0.356</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
<td>-0.277</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS%</td>
<td>-0.552</td>
<td>-0.402</td>
<td>-0.313</td>
<td>-0.228</td>
<td>-0.356</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
<td>-0.277</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS/g</td>
<td>-0.572</td>
<td>-0.422</td>
<td>-0.306</td>
<td>-0.225</td>
<td>-0.324</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>-0.237</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. * = Significant at the 0.05. SLLJ-D = Single-leg lateral jump - Dominant, SLLJ-ND = Single-leg lateral jump - Non-dominant, SLLJ-A = Single-leg lateral jump - Asymmetry, HJ = Horizontal jump, CST-D = Cornering S test - Dominant, CST-ND = Cornering S test - Non-dominant, CST-A = Cornering S test - Asymmetry, OPS = Offensive point share, DPS = Defensive point share, PS = Point share, PS% = Relative point share, PS/g = Point share per game.
The study's results showed that there was only one apparent significant correlation among the tested physical variables and seasonal game performance variables, SLLJ-D and OPS. However, the correlation suggested a negatively performance-based relationship that illustrated a decrease in offensive game contribution as the lateral jump increased in length. This may be due to the data collection taking place in the middle of the in-season, likely causing ice hockey players to experience greater levels of fatigue and, subsequently,
underperforming during the physical performance tests (28). The remaining plyometric variables resulted in non-significant relationships which diminishes the probability of plyometric variables as performance indicators. Furthermore, multiple studies have been consistent with not finding significant correlations between plyometric performance and ice hockey performance (2, 24, 26, 27). Thus, reaffirming that plyometric testing may not indicate ice hockey performance. Consequently, strength testing may elicit stronger relationships to game performance than plyometric testing, which has been evident through significantly correlating lower body strength and ice hockey performance (21).

Although on-ice sprinting was not found to strongly correlate with any of the individual game performance variables in this study, previous studies have exhibited both an explanatory relationship (24) and a non-explanatory relationship (21) with on-ice sprinting. However, the length of the sprint in the correlating relationship was set at 30 meters (24), which is twice the length of the sprint tested in this study. Furthermore, the measurement of game performance was distinctly different. Specifically, this study measured game performance using point shares (i.e. contribution), whereas previous studies have considered variables such as plus/minus statistics (1, 21), individual points (1), net scoring chances and minutes (7) Thus, comparisons are difficult to draw as the differences in assessing game performance result in different capacities being evaluated.

Performance relationships dependent on competition level

When comparing performance relationships between the higher and lower competition level, far more statistically significant relationships were apparent in the higher competition level. On-ice agility and lateral plyometrics were the two strongest indicators of performance relationships dependent on competition level. The two physical abilities both place an emphasis on single-leg power, which has been hypothesised to be highly relevant for ice hockey performance (9). Nonetheless, the results revealed that lateral plyometrics indicated a negative performance-related relationship dependent on competition level.

On-ice agility was depicted as a relevant factor when the competition level is higher and may indicate a physical capacity related to defensive contribution. Theoretically, foundational abilities may become successively homogenous as the competition level equalises between oppositions, prompting skating agility as an important factor of game performance. Skating agility’s importance is further supported by previous research reporting the significance of winning one-on-one situations in relation to game performance outcome (12), where skating agility would be highly beneficial. Therefore, strength and conditioning practitioners should emphasise exercises that develop an ice hockey player’s multiplanar efficiency in acceleration and deceleration movements. By doing so, players may be better prepared to execute the high-intensity change-of-directions required in games (4).
Limitations

The use of Point Shares as the foundational game performance variable may also be questioned. Although PS does take defensive contribution into deliberation, which considerably equalises statistical performance assessments across player positions, it is like many other game performance variables in the sense that it has not been validated to precisely assess game performance. It can be argued that the same lack of construct validity is the case for all the game performance variables that were found in previous research (7, 21, 22, 27). In turn, highlighting the difficulty of objectively evaluating an all-encompassing game performance variable.

The individual sample group participating in this study consisted of twelve players from one team, this equated to a large majority of available players per the inclusion criteria. Nonetheless, in comparison to similar studies (1, 7, 21, 26) this study’s sample size was somewhat smaller. Effectively, there were players who were unavailable to participate and therefore resulted in a less than desirable sample size.

Future recommendations

Performance-related research in ice hockey will benefit if more attention is directed towards testing on-ice variables. Further inclusion of on-ice testing may not only result in greater performance indicators but also highlight the potential discrepancies between on-ice and off-ice testing as it relates to game performance. Moreover, the objective assessment of individual game performance in ice hockey needs to be studied to establish a valid method that can be utilised in future research. It may be favourable to incorporate the relevant practitioners (e.g. sports coaches) into the research discussion, this can result in a more extensive understanding of how to objectively assess game performance. Thus, enabling researchers to convincingly justify their findings and discover patterns throughout various studies’ results. As for strength and conditioning practitioners, our findings indicate that training should include exercises targeting the underlying physical factors of skating agility (e.g. acceleration and deceleration). Less of an emphasis may be given to plyometric training, which seemingly did not represent game performance to a considerable extent in our findings as well as previous (2, 24, 26, 27). Instead, attention can be directed to closed-chain exercises aiming to increase the lower-limb lateral peak force and rate of force production, due to the conducive kinetics relating to explosive skating (17).

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest that physical performance variables did not explain game performance in the observed case to a considerable extent. Furthermore, on-ice agility performance may predict defensive contribution between higher and lower levels of competition, but further research is necessary. Whereas off-ice plyometric performance lacked in explaining the game performance of the studied group. In closure, sports scientists and practitioners in team sports will benefit from exploring the possibilities of precise individual contribution assessment in their respective sports to further enhance the current practice.
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